

TO: **Mail Stop 8**  
**Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**REPORT ON THE  
FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN  
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR  
TRADEMARK**

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Western District of Texas, Austin Division on the following

Trademarks or  Patents. ( the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):

DOCKET NO. 1:11-cv-00251 SS		DATE FILED 3/30/2011	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Western District of Texas, Austin Division
PLAINTIFF  Grandeye Limited		DEFENDANT  Immervision	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1 See attached			
2 7,542,035			
3 6,243,099			
4			
5			

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) or trademark(s) are					
DATE INCLUDED		INCLUDED BY			
		<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Answer	<input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.		DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

## **DECISION/JUDGEMENT**

**DISPOSITION/JUDGEMENT**  
Please see attached Order of Dismissal

**CLERK**

William G. Putnicki

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE SEP 8 2011

**Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director      Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director**  
**Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director      Copy 4—Case file copy**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED  
2011 SEP -8 AM 9:20  
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BY Kev  
REPLICA

GRANDEYE LIMITED,  
Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. A-11-CA-251-SS

IMMERVISION,  
Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

BE IT REMEMBERED on this day there was presented to the Court the Stipulation of Dismissal [#17] filed by the parties in the above-styled and numbered cause, and after consideration of the same, the Court enters the following orders:

IT IS ORDERED that the Stipulation of Dismissal [#17] is GRANTED in all respects and this lawsuit is hereby DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that all costs are adjudged against the party incurring same.

SIGNED this the 7<sup>th</sup> day of September 2011.

Bam Sparks  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE